IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DEC 0 6 200

KUNIO SEKIYA

In re Application of:

Serial No: 09/486,483

Filed: March 23, 2001

For: METHOD OF PREVENTING

CONTAMINATION OF CANVAS USED

IN PAPER MACHINE

MS Petition Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Case No.: 24555-001

Art Unit: 1731

Examiner: Mark Halpern

RECEIVED

DEC 0 9 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

- The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a
 proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark office.
 The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for
 reply in the Office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained.
 - 2. This petition is filed within one year of the date of abandonment.
- 3. This application became abandoned unintentionally, because the Submission of Color Photographs Pursuant To 37 C.F.R §1.84 filed October 2, 2003, treated therein as a petition to accept color drawings or photographs under 37 C.F.R §1.84 was denied and the period for reply to the Office letter mailed September 26, 2003 for which the submission was in response to was unextendable.
- 4. Applicant was directed to correct informalities as indicated in the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers mailed September 26, 2003 and given 30 days to do so. Failure to reply would result in abandonment.
- 5. Accordingly, Applicant timely filed on October 2, 2003 a "Submission of Color Photographs Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.84 which included as requested in the Notice: 1) "Figure 11-15 appear to be color photographs. Please supply three

Application No.: 09/486,463

Case No.: 24555-001

copies;" and 2) "on page 7 please supply statement which cites color photographs in file."

- 6. Applicant called the USPTO and left a message for RoChaun M. Johnson and spoke with a RoChaun M. Johnson on October 17, 2003 to learn that she had received the photographs.
- 7. Applicant again spoke with Ms. Johnson on October 27, 2003 and was told no Petition was needed and that the case was fine.
- 8. Subsequently, Applicant spoke with supervisory patent examiner Steven P. Griffin and was told that a Petition was required and that he would treat the Submission as such. Furthermore, a Decision on Petition was mailed March 4, 2004 and the Petition was denied because it did not amend the specification to include the language set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.84 (a)(2)(iv) and did not provide an explanation stating why color photographs were necessary. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed on April 15, 2004.
- 9. A Petition to Accept Color Drawings or Photographs under 37 C.F.R §1.84(a)(2) and (b)(2) is enclosed herewith. Accordingly, the Petition states that it is for the acceptance of color photographs, it amends the specification to include the language set forth in 37 CFR § 1.84(a)(2)(iv) and it provides an explanation stating why color photographs are necessary. The Petition Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h), was previously charged to Deposit account 501447 (Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP).
- 10. As noted in the Decision on Petition the "petition (submission), which was submitted in response to the office letter mailed September 26, 2003, includes (1) the fee as required by 37 CFR 1.17(h) [note the fee has been charged to applicant's deposit account as authorized in the submission] and (2) three sets of the color photographs." Accordingly, Applicants have not included the petition fee nor have they included the color photographs.
- 11. In November, 2004 Applicant called the USPTO helpline and confirmed that resubmission of the color photos was not needed so long as a clear paper trail was made in the Petition so that the papers could be matched up properly.
- 12. The fee for Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application under 37 CFR 1.17(m), of \$1,370.00 is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 501447 (Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP).

Application No.: 09/486,403

Case No.: 24555-001

It is Applicants belief that there are no other fees associated with this submission, however, should an additional fee be due please charge such fee to Deposit Account No. 501447 (Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP).

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas R. Mancini Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 50,157

Telephone: (302) 984-6127 Facsimile: (302) 658-1192

Date: December 3, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Case No.: 24555-001

KUNIO SEKIYA

Art Unit: 1731

Serial No: 09/486,483

Examiner: Mark Halpern

Filed: March 23, 2001

For: METHOD OF PREVENTING

CONTAMINATION OF CANVAS USED

IN PAPER MACHINE

DEC 0 9 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

MS Petition

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

PETITION TO ACCEPT COLOR DRAWINGS OR PHOTOGRAPHS (37 C.F.R.§ 1.84(a)(2) and (b)(2))

- 1. This petition is for the acceptance of color:
 - [] drawings. (37 C.F.R. § 1.84(a)(2)
 - [X] photographs. (37 C.F.R. § 1.84(b)(2)
- 2. Submitted on October 2, 2003 were three (3) sets of color:
 - [] drawings.
 - [X] photographs.
- 3. Please amend the specification at page 7 between lines 26 and 27 after the heading BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS, by inserting the following language:

"The patent or application file contains at least one drawing/photograph executed in color. Copies of this patent or patent application publication with color drawing(s)/photograph(s) will be provided by the Office upon request and payment of the necessary fee."

4. The reason(s) for the need for color drawings or photographs in this application is/are as follows:

Drawings, either color or black and white, are insufficient to adequately depict an accurate representation of the disclosed subject matter of Figures 11-15. Further drawings would not provide the public or the Examiner with the proper perspective

U.S. Serial No.: 09/486,483 Attorney's Docket No.: 24555-001

as to the effectiveness of the present invention at controlling contaminants. Therefore, the color photographs are necessary because they are the only practical medium to clearly show the present invention.

Figure 11 shows the lack of clogging of the eyes of the canvas, and the lack of stain of the paper caused by the contaminant and the silicone oil contained in the surface treatment agent.

Figure 12 shows the lack of accumulation of the containment on the surface of the outroll as well as the lack of stains on the paper caused by the contaminant and the silicone oil.

Figure 13 shows the adhesive material clogging the eyes of the canvas, in a number of parts thereof.

Figure 14 shows the adhesive material lumps that accumulated on the surface of the outrolls.

Figure 15 shows the results of a comparison of embodiment 1 with that of comparative example 3, wherein the constituents of the contaminant were sampled by applying a polyester adhesive tape to the surface of the canvas.

- 5. As noted in the Decision on Petition the "petition (submission), which was submitted in response to the office letter mailed September 26, 2003, includes (1) the fee as required by 37 CFR 1.17(h) [note the fee has been charged to applicant's deposit account as authorized in the submission] and (2) three sets of the color photographs." Accordingly, Applicants have not included the petition fee nor have they included the color photographs.
- 6. In November, 2004 Applicant called the USPTO helpline and confirmed that resubmission of the color photos was not needed so long as a clear paper trail was made in the Petition so that the papers could be matched up properly.
- 7. Should there be any additional fees required by this paper or credit for any overpayment authorization is hereby given to charge Deposit Account 501447.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas R. Mancini, Esquire 50,157

Attorney for Applicants

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

P.O. Box 951

Wilmington, DE 19899-0951

Phone (302) 984-6127 Facsimile (302)658-1192



Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

> MS Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on December 3, 2004

Date

Signature

Darcy A. White

Type or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Application No: 09/486,483 Filing Date: March 23, 2001

Title: Method Of Preventing Contamination of Canvas Used in Paper Machine

Attorney Docket: 24555-001

Transmittal Form

Fee Transmittal

Petition for Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally

Petition to Accept Color Drawings Or Photographs

Receipt Card

OIPE

TRADEMA

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box

9	
- 1	-

PTO/SB/21(08-00) Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number 09/486,483

Filing Date March 23, 2001

First Named Inventor Kunio Sekiya RECEIVED

Group Art Unit 1731

Examiner Name Mark Halpern DEC 0 9 2004

Attorney Docket Number 24555-001

<u> </u>						
		ENCLOSURES	(check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal F	orm	Assignment Par (for an Application		After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Attached		Drawing(s)		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Res	sponse	Licensing-relate	d Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final Affidavits/dec	laration(s)	Petition for Revi	ival	Proprietary Information		
Extension of Time Request		Petition to Conv		Status Letter		
Express Abandonment Request		Power of Attorn	ey, Revocation espondence Address	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement		Terminal Discla	imer	 Petition to Accept Color Drawings or Photographs 		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Request for Ref	fund	Certificate of Mailing		
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		CD, Number of CD(s)		Receipt Card		
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Remarks				
	SIGNA	TURE OF APPLIC	ANT, ATTORNEY, O	R AGENT		
Firm or Individual name	Thomas R. Mancini, Registration No. 50,157					
Signature	the	.K-	<u></u>			
Date	December 3, 2004					

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MS Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name

Darçy A. White

Signature

Date December 3, 2004

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated of alse 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FIES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/17 (11-01)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002, OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Complete if Known **FEE TRANSMITTAL** 09/486,483 Application Number for FY 2004 March 23, 2001 Filing Date RECEIVED First Named Inventor Kunio Sekiya Patent fees are subject to annual revision. Mark Halpern **Examiner Name** DEC 0 9 2004 1731 ☐ Applicant Claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 Group / Art Unit OFFICE OF PETITIONS 1370.00 24555-001 **TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT** Attorney Docket No.

ME	THOD OF PAYMEN	IT (check all that apply)				FEE C	:ALCULATION (continued)	
Check Credit card Money Order Other None			DITIONA e Entity		l Entity			
Deposit Account	t:		Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Deposit			105	130	205	65	Surcharge – late filing fee or oath	
Account Number 501447		127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.		
Deposit			139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
Account	Potter Anders	son & Corroon LLP	147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination	
Name The Commissioner	r is authorized to:	(check all that apply)	112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Charge fee(s) in		Credit any overpayments the pendency of this application	113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
		ept for the filing fee to the	115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	
	deposit account	ept for the ming fee to the	116	420	216	210	Extension for reply within second month	
	•		117	950	217	475	Extension for reply within third month	
		CULATION	118	1,480	218	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
1. BASIC FII	LING FEE		128	2,010	228	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	
Large Entity S	imall Entity		119	330	219	165	Notice of Appeal	
		Description	120	330	220	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
Code (\$) C	ode (\$)	Fee Paid	121	290	221	145	Request for oral hearing	
1		ity filing fee	138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
107 530 2	207 265 Plan	nt filing fee	140	110	240	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable	
108 770 2	208 385 Rei	ssue filing fee	141	1,330	241	665	Petition to revive – unintentional	1370.00
114 160 2	214 80 Pro	visional filling fee	142	1,330	242	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
İ '			143	480	243	240	Design issue fee	
	SHIS	BTOTAL (1) (\$) 0	144	640	244	320	Plant issue fee	
	300	STOTAL (1) (\$) 0	122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
2. EXTRA CLAIM	FEES		123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
		Extra Fee from Fee Claims below Paid	126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Total Claims Independent	20 =	X 18 = X	581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
Claims	3 =		146	770	246	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
Dependent	Small Entity	X 280 = 0	149	770	249	385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
Large Entity Fee Fee	Fee Fee	Fee Description	179	770	279	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
Code (\$)	Code (\$)		169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a	\vdash
103 18	203 9	Claims in excess of 20	1	000		000	design application	
102 86	202 43	Independent claims in excess of 3			•			
104 290	204 145	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	Other	fee (spec	ify)			
109 86	209 43	** Reissue independent claims over original patent						
110 18	210 9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent						
·	SUB	TOTAL (2) (\$) 0					_	
**or number previo	ously paid, if greater,	For Reissues, see above	*Redu	ced by Ba	asic Filing	g Fee Pa	id SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 1370.00	

SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)					
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas R. Mancini, Esquire	Registration No. Attorney/Agent)	50,157	Telephone	(302) 984-6127
Signature	of h			Date	December 3, 2004